The Role of Interdisciplinary Approach in the Historical Reconstruction of Oron People of the Lower Cross River Basin.

By

Gabriel Eyo Matthew
Department of History and International Studies,
Akwa Ibom State University
Email: gabrielmathew@aksu.edu.ng

Abstract

This study examines the reconstruction of Oron history, a historically marginalized ethnic group in Nigeria's Niger Delta through a multidisciplinary approach. It critically engages longstanding methodological challenges in African historiography, including the distortions imposed by colonial knowledge production, fragmented archival records, and the systematic exclusion of indigenous epistemologies. Despite their rich cultural and ecological heritage, the Oron people remain on the margins of dominant historical narratives, shaped by both colonial and postcolonial silences. Through the integration of diverse methodologies—anthropology, archaeology, oral traditions, linguistics, environmental history, and ethnobotany—this research proposes a holistic framework for rewriting Oron history from within. It demonstrates how interdisciplinary approaches can recover silenced voices, legitimize indigenous ways of knowing, and confront the epistemic hegemony of Western historiography. In doing so, the study advances a broader argument for historical justice and the reclamation of minority identities across Africa. Ultimately, this study positions historical reconstruction as both an academic and political act that affirms the Oron people's agency, challenges exclusionary narratives, and reclaims their rightful place in Nigeria's past, present, and future.

Keyword: Oron, History, Multidisciplinary Approach and Reconstruction

Introduction

The reconstruction of African history has long wrestled with problems. This includes the crises of colonialism, accuracy, fragmented archives, and the marginalization of indigenous epistemologies (knowledge systems). For

communities like the Oron people, an ethnic group in Nigeria's Niger Delta whose rich cultural heritage has been largely overlooked by prevailing historical pathologies, the imperative to reclaim and reinterpret their past is both academically necessary and a sociopolitical requisite. This research seeks to present how an appropriate multidimensional historical framework that integrates disciplines like anthropology, archaeology, linguistics, oral traditions, environmental studies, and ethnobotany into the writing of Oron's history can advance accuracy and objectivity.

Historical writing is driven by methodologies and traditional historical methodologies are often reliant on colonial-era documents or isolated disciplinary lenses, which risks enabling silences and biases that obscure the complexity of precolonial African societies. For the Oron, whose historical identity is entwined with their maritime heritage, agrarian practices, and kinship systems, a singular disciplinary perspective fails to capture the dynamism of their socio-political evolution. This creates a problem that only interdisciplinary system can handle. An interdisciplinary paradigm enables a holistic synthesis of material culture, phonology, ecological adaptations, and orally transmitted knowledge—elements critical to reconstructing a nuanced chronology of Oron's past. For instance, archaeological findings from ancestral sites can corroborate oral histories of migration, while linguistic analysis of proverbs and toponyms may reveal historical trade networks or environmental changes.

This study also engages with decolonial theory, emphasizing the ethical responsibility to collaborate with Oron elders, storytellers, and cultural custodians as co-creators of knowledge. Such participatory methodologies not only democratize historical inquiry but also challenge power imbalances inherent in academic research.

1.2 Conceptualizing the Art of Interdisciplinary Approach.

Interdisciplinary research is an approach that integrates theories, methodologies, and frameworks from two or more disciplines to address complex questions that cannot be resolved by a single field alone (Klein, 1990). This synthesis transcends traditional academic silos and allows scholars the freedom to explore multifaceted phenomena through multiple perspectives. It premises on the configuration of

insights and findings from fields as diverse as history, anthropology, sociology, linguistics, and digital humanities, to generate a rigorous research result that offers a richer, more nuanced understanding of complex subjects.

The features and characteristics of interdisciplinary research are manifold. First, it is inherently integrative, blending of different epistemologies and analytical methods to form a cohesive whole. This integration is marked by the cross-fertilization of ideas, where theories from one discipline inform and transform those in another. For example, historical writing enriched by archaeological data and oral traditions exemplifies how diverse sources can converge to construct a more comprehensive narrative (Nissani, 1997). Additionally, interdisciplinary research is iterative and collaborative, often requiring sustained dialogue among experts with varied backgrounds. Its methodological pluralism encourages flexibility, creativity, and innovation in tackling research questions.

The emergence of interdisciplinary research as a formalized approach can be traced back to the mid-20th century, notably gaining momentum during the "Two Cultures" debate initiated by C.P. Snow in 1959. This period highlighted the chasm between the sciences and the humanities, and scholars began advocating for the integration of these fields to overcome the limitations imposed by disciplinary boundaries. Today, interdisciplinary research is widely recognized and valued by the global research community, particularly in addressing complex, transnational issues such as climate change, public health, and cultural heritage preservation. Its relevance is further underscored by the growing trend of cross-disciplinary collaboration in both academic institutions and research funding bodies worldwide.

The origins of interdisciplinary research are rooted in the recognition that the compartmentalization of knowledge hinders the exploration of complex phenomena. Early proponents such as John Dewey and later scholars like Julie Thompson Klein argued that the boundaries between disciplines are artificial constructs that do obscure a deeper, more interconnected understanding of reality. The concept of consilience, popularized by E.O. Wilson in 1998, encapsulates this idea by proposing that evidence from independent, unrelated sources can

converge to form strong conclusions, thereby reinforcing the power of interdisciplinary approaches.

Interdisciplinary research profoundly influences and supports both global and regional histories by enabling historians to construct narratives that are informed by a multitude of perspectives. For instance, the incorporation of archaeological evidence and oral histories into the reconstruction of regional histories—such as those of indigenous communities—allows for a more democratic and inclusive portrayal of the past. This approach not only challenges dominant narratives but also provides a framework for understanding the interlaces between local experiences and global historical processes. In doing so, interdisciplinary methods serve as a vital bridge linking global research endeavors with regional specificity, ensuring that the complexities of human history are comprehensively captured and appreciated.

1.3 Conceptualization and Framework of African History and Historiography.

History is the systematic study of past events, while historiography is the critical examination of how these events have been recorded, interpreted, and presented over time (Carr, 1961). Together, they form the dual foundation of historical inquiry: one focused on uncovering factual occurrences and the other on scrutinizing the methodologies, narratives, and biases inherent in historical writing. A core feature of history is its empirical nature. Historians collect evidence—documents, artifacts, and oral traditions—to construct narratives that illuminate past human behaviour. Historiography on the other hand, interrogates these narratives by evaluating the sources, methods, and theoretical frameworks used by historians. This reflective process not only uncovers the evolution of historical interpretation but also reveals how cultural, political, and ideological contexts shape our understanding of the past (Tosh, 2015). Thus, historiography is both a critique and a mirror of historical writing itself, emphasizing that history is not static but continuously studied and interpreted; restudied and reinterpreted.

African history and African historiography represent a dynamic field that challenges traditional narratives. At its core, African historiography is the study and writing of African history that seeks to reconstruct past events by integrating diverse sources, including oral traditions, archaeological findings, linguistic

analyses, and written records. This approach, much like interdisciplinary research, acknowledges that no single discipline can fully capture the complexities of Africa's past.

The features and characteristics of African historiography are marked by its commitment to inclusivity and pluralism. It emphasizes the importance of indigenous perspectives and oral traditions, which have historically been marginalized by Eurocentric historical narratives. Scholars such as Cheikh Anta Diop and Basil Davidson have been instrumental in promoting methodologies that validate African voices. This historiography is inherently critical, interrogating colonial narratives and challenging imposed frameworks to recover lost or suppressed experiences of Africa's ancestors.

The emergence of modern African historiography can be traced back to the mid-20th century, a period marked by decolonization and the reawakening of national identities across the continent. In this era, the global research community began to recognize the significance of Africa's contributions to world history. The relevance of African historiography extends beyond the continent; it offers alternative perspectives that enrich global historical discourse. As the field has evolved, it has garnered support from international scholars and institutions, underscoring its importance in a truly global academic terrain.

The origins of African historiography are rooted in both pre-colonial and colonial histories. Traditional African societies maintained their own historical records through oral literature, proverbs, and performance arts. However, with the advent of colonialism, European historiography often dismissed these sources as unscientific. The post-colonial era witnessed a renaissance in African historiography, as indigenous scholars and historians reclaimed their past by reinterpreting these oral traditions and material cultures using rigorous academic methods. This shift has paved the way for a more nuanced and balanced understanding of African history.

1.4 The History and Historiography of Oron Community: Nature and Problems.
The Oron people, comprised of the Idua, Okobo, Effiat-Mbo, Ebughu, Enwang, and Oron Ukpabang communities, are situated at the confluence of the estuary

and the Atlantic Ocean along the eastern coast of Southern Nigeria, near the margin of the Cross River (Uya, 1984). It is the third largest ethnicity in the Oil rich Akwa Ibom state. By virtue of environmental realities, the community is a deep-sea and coastal fishing community. Due to its strategic location and natural resources, the Oron environment has supported flora, fauna, and human life for thousands of years before the advent of the Europeans. Its contact with Europe itself was enabled by its geography of waterways, which runs into the Atlantic Ocean and served as a route of trade before the formalization of colonialism.

The historical reconstruction of the Oron people presents a unique challenge within African historiography, marked by a confluence of colonial disruptions, marginalization, and contested narratives. Scholars such as Anwana (2009) have argued that the traditional archives and colonial documents offers an incomplete and biased accounts that fail to capture the indigenous perspectives of the Oron community. Thus, one central problem in Oron historical reconstruction is the heavy reliance on colonial records which, by their nature, reflect the priorities and prejudices of the colonial administration. These sources marginalize oral traditions, a crucial repository of indigenous knowledge in African societies. As noted by Chris Eyo (2015), the rich oral narratives of the Oron people which encompasses myths, genealogies, and communal rituals have remained undervalued in mainstream historical methodologies. This marginalization has led to a historiographical gap where the voices of the Oron, who have long engaged in resistance and resource activism as tools of nation-building are either misinterpreted or ignored.

Moreover, the fragmentation of Oron history is compounded by the disruptions wrought by colonialism and postcolonial state policies. The imposition of centralized oil governance in the Niger Delta, as described in petitions and counter-petitions by several Oron activists, has not only altered traditional governance systems but also obscured the historical continuity of the Oron social and political organization. Okon Edet Uya's work, drawing on comparative studies in African historiography, argues that the transformation of indigenous structures under colonial rule has created a continual challenge. It has blocked a resourceful reconstructing of a past that has been systematically decontextualized and distorted by external administrative frameworks.

The Role of Interdisciplinary Approach in Researching Oron Historical Experiences. (Main Findings and Discussion).

Interdisciplinary approach is resourceful and anti-error method upon which the historical reconstruction of Oron people can thrive remarkably. This involves drawing upon supports, ideas and frameworks from various discipline to converge an historical account that represent the internal processes and experiences that have shaped the riverine society through the centuries.

The postcolonial theory and subaltern studies developed by Guha, (1997) and Said (1978), is useful in challenging the dominance of colonial archives that marginalize indigenous voices of Oron people. It posits those oral traditions—rich with proverbs, myths, and communal narratives—are critical sources of historical memory. In reconstructing Oron history, integrating oral testimonies with colonial records can expose overlooked layers of social, political, and economic life. Studies in regions such as South Asia have shown that blending oral and archival data yields balanced, contested histories that resonate with community identity. In Oron, this method can reveal the impacts of colonial disruption on indigenous governance and resistance. However, challenges include potential inaccuracies in oral transmission, linguistic variations, and memory distortion. Mitigation involves employing digital recording tools, cross-referencing with material evidence, and engaging local experts for validation. This interdisciplinary integration will not only enrich historical reconstruction but also reclaims the epistemic authority of the Oron people, challenging the archival bias and restoring suppressed narratives. A good example is Anwana (2009) works on the Ekpe society in Oron community. The work represents how the overly devalued Ekpe society was a powerful agent of community governance which ensured social order before, during and even after colonial rule in Oron.

Employing Archaeological and Anthropological Evidences and Material Culture

Rooted in materialist historiography and Foucault's "archaeology of knowledge," this approach asserts that archaeological artifacts and material data provide tangible evidence of historical processes. In Oron history, archaeological findings can illuminate settlement patterns, trade routes, and environmental changes disrupted by colonial and postcolonial resource extraction. This is because the

Oron is a costal based community which economic and sociopolitical life was shaped by sea-based economic endeavor and trading experiences with hinterland neighbors in old Calabar and Efik people; Ibibio, Annang and Arochukwu. Comparable interdisciplinary projects in Africa have successfully merged material culture with oral history to reconstruct precolonial societies.

For the Oron, archaeological evidence will validate oral traditions and compensates for the fragmentary historical records. But there are challenges. These challenges include limited funding, excavation biases, and interpretative conflicts among specialists. These issues can be mitigated through collaborative frameworks, standardized methodologies, and community-driven research. The configuration of archaeological data with traditional narratives, will produces a more holistic, empirically grounded history, reasserting the significance of indigenous Oron material culture in understanding socio-political transformations.

Moreso, Anthropology, which is the study of mankind across cultures and space through time is a powerful instrument of reconstructing the history of Oron people. The discipline hold promises of ensuring that historians of Oron and Oron historians dig deeper into how the Oron cultural practices, social structures and economic programs evolve through the centuries and the internal and external mechanism which fostered these processes. nalyzing material culture provides concrete insights into technological advancements and social organization. For instance, the design and construction of the Oron people's fishing canoes reflect their maritime heritage and expertise, highlighting their adaptation to the coastal environment and their economic reliance on fishing. Traditional attire, such as the Ijara, and ceremonial objects like the Ukara cloth, embody aesthetic values and social stratification, indicating roles, statuses, and communal affiliations within Oron society.

Furthermore, exploring the Oron people's social and political structures through an anthropological lens reveals the intricacies of their governance and community organization. The Offong Udung, Offong Afaha, and Atta Oron kingship systems exemplify the centralized leadership and hierarchical arrangements that have historically governed Oron communities. These institutions not only structured

political authority but also played pivotal roles in maintaining social cohesion and cultural continuity.

Utilizing Linguistic and Digital Humanities Methods

Grounded in semiotics, phonology and discourse theory, linguistic analysis with digital humanities reshapes historical reconstruction by decoding language as a repository of cultural memory. For Oron history, examining dialect evolution, idiomatic expressions, and narrative structures can reveal hidden interactions, migrations, and socio-political shifts that traditional archives overlook. Digital tools such as Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and Computational Textual Analysis (CTA) can allow scholars to map linguistic patterns and visualize historical networks between the Oron people and their immediate neighbors of Efik, Ibibio and Annang. Similar methods have proven effective in reconstructing East African trade routes and oral literatures. This is why Oron language is mapped as part of larger Bantu group of languages. Uya (1985) has used this linguistic connection to trace that the Oron people, indeed migrated from east Africa (present day Uganda and former Zaire) through the Cameroons (a place called Usahadit) to settled at their present location. Linguistic evidences have also promoted the consensus that the Oron and the Obolo people are ancestrally related and only separated due to frequent squabbles over fishing and farming grounds after they crossed Cameroon and arrived at Esuk Oron. Nonetheless, challenges in the use of language systems and digital historical technologies include data standardization and potential oversimplification of complex oral data. Mitigation requires careful calibration of digital models, community involvement, and iterative validation processes. This combined approach deepens the reconstruction of Oron history by revealing dynamic linguistic interactions and providing accessible, interconnected representations of historical change.

Ethnography, as the in-depth study of customs, traditions, and cultural practices, plays a crucial role in reconstructing the history of the Oron people. This approach goes beyond conventional archival research by drawing on diverse sources of ethnographic data—folktales, dances, ritual rites, initiation ceremonies, and religious practices such as the Ekpe shrine—that form the bedrock of Oron cosmology. Over time, these cultural expressions have not only shaped the Oron way of life but also provided critical insights into their historical evolution.

By systematically analyzing these elements, ethnographers can illuminate the otherwise obscured narratives of the Oron past. For instance, examining the patterns in ritual rites and the processes of initiation into influential societies reveals underlying social structures and historical transitions. These insights help bridge gaps left by traditional, monodisciplinary historical methods, thereby producing a more nuanced and accurate reconstruction of Oron history. Moreover, such an interdisciplinary approach challenges the hegemony of Eurocentric historiography and re-centers the Oron as active agents in the interpretation of their own cultural heritage.

The art of collaborative and participatory research approaches is also an important aspect of interdisciplinary method of historical writing. Inspired by critical theory and the concept of epistemic justice, collaborative research advocates for democratizing knowledge production. In Oron history, involving local scholars, elders, and interdisciplinary lessons and experts ensures that reconstructed narratives authentically reflect community memory and lived experiences. Participatory methods have yielded robust results in indigenous historiographies across Australia and Latin America, where community voices reshaped dominant narratives. This approach challenges traditional top-down methodologies by validating oral traditions and fostering mutual learning. However, potential challenges include power imbalances between academics and local participants, differing expectations, and logistical barriers. These can be addressed by ensuring transparent, equitable collaboration, resource sharing, and sustained dialogue that respects local autonomy. By integrating local expertise and interdisciplinary insights, participatory research not only enriches Oron historiography but also empowers the community, creating a resilient framework for contesting colonial biases and advancing historical justice.

Conclusion

This research emphasises the potential of interdisciplinary methodologies in reconstructing the histories of marginalized communities, as demonstrated by the Oron people's experience. By synthesizing anthropology, archaeology, linguistics, ethnobotany, and oral traditions, this study transcends the constraints imposed by colonial archives and Eurocentric historiography, recentering indigenous epistemologies as vital sources of historical truth. Integrating Oron oral histories

with material evidence such as maritime artifacts and ecological data will challenge reductive colonial narratives and also reveals the dynamism of precolonial Oron society. This interdisciplinary approach illuminates their adaptive resilience and socio-political complexity, providing a richer, more nuanced reconstruction of Oron history that acknowledges both material culture and the lived experiences of its people.

References

Aboelela, Sally W et al. (2007). Defining Interdisciplinary Research: Conclusions from a Critical Review of the Literature. *Health services research* vol. 42,1.

Ananana, Asuquo Okon (2009). Ekpe Imperium in South Eastern Nigeria, 1600-1900. Calabar, University of Calabar Press.

Amugo, Frank (2024). A Concise History and International Diplomacy Research Methods. Port Harcourt, BSJ Consulting Limited.

Mobley, C. (2019). Documentary Sources for Precolonial African History.

Chester, Morton (2017) The importance of oral sources to the reconstruction of African history. Virtualkollage.

Foucault, Michael (1969) The Archaeology of Knowledge. Paris, Éditions Gallimard.

Guha, Ranajit, ed. (1997). *A Subaltern Studies Reader, 1986–1995*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Ihediwa, Nkemjika Chimee (2023) African Historiography and the Challenges of European Periodization: A Historical Comment. Transregional Research, United Kingdon.

Klein, Julie T. (1990) *Interdisciplinarity: History, Theory, and Practice*. Detroit, MI: Wayne State University Press.

Nissani, Moti. (1997). "Ten Steps Toward Interdisciplinarity." *Journal of Integrated Studies* 15 (1): 117–129.

Sajjad Afrosheh and Mohammadreza Askari (2022). Fusion of Deep Learning and GIS for Advanced Remote Sensing Image Analysis. Journal of Learning Outcomes, vol.16,5.

Said, Edward W. (1978). *Orientalism*. New York: Pantheon Books.

Uya, Okon Edet (1984). A History of Oron People of the Lower Cross River Basin. Oron, Manson Publishing Company.

Vansina, Jan (1985). Oral Tradition as History. Wisconsin-Madison, University of Wisconsin Press.

Wilson, Edward O. (1998). Consilience: The Unity of Knowledge. New York: Knopf.