An Assessment of the Indices of North and South in the North–South Divide

By

Simon Igbebiri
simonigbebiri@gmail.com
Department of History and Diplomatic Studies
Faculty of Humanities
Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Rumuolumeni, Port Harcourt
&
Kingdom E. Orji
Department of History and Diplomatic Studies
Ignatius Ajuru University of Education

Abstract

The study examines *An Assessment of the Indices of North and South in the North–South Divide* with the aim of exploring the persistent inequalities between developed and developing nations in the global system. The North–South divide remains one of the most enduring fault lines in international relations, reflecting disparities in economic capacity, political influence, technological advancement, and social development. This paper interrogates the historical roots of the divide, shaped by colonial exploitation, dependency relations, and unequal participation in global trade. It further analyzes the contemporary manifestations of inequality through indices such as economic diversification, governance and institutional quality, healthcare systems, educational access, and technological innovation. Using Nigeria as a case study, the paper demonstrates how resource dependence, weak institutions, and inadequate human capital development continue to limit the South's progress. The study also highlights that while the divide is global, it has specific domestic implications, particularly for Southern states like Nigeria, where underdevelopment perpetuates poverty, unemployment, and marginalization in international affairs. The findings underscore that bridging the divide requires dual approaches: internal reforms within the South, including economic diversification, stronger governance, human capital investment, and technological innovation; and external reforms at the global level, such as fairer trade practices, debt relief, and reformed international financial systems. The paper concludes that overcoming the

North–South divide is not an unattainable goal but a collective responsibility requiring both national resilience and global solidarity.

Keywords: North–South divide, economic inequality, Nigeria, governance, development, globalization, South–South cooperation.

Introduction

The North–South divide has long remained a central theme in discussions of global development, international politics, and economic inequality. It refers to the persistent disparities between the advanced industrialized countries of the Global North and the less developed nations of the Global South. These disparities are manifested in multiple dimensions: income levels, healthcare access, educational opportunities, industrial capacity, political influence, and technological innovation (Sen, 1999). While the North enjoys high living standards, advanced infrastructure, and greater global influence, the South continues to grapple with poverty, weak institutions, and limited participation in global decision-making (Stiglitz, 2002).

Historically, the divide is rooted in colonial exploitation, mercantilism, and the unequal integration of Southern economies into the global capitalist system (Rodney, 1972). The South was systematically relegated to the role of raw material supplier, while the North built its wealth through industrialization and technological advancement. The dependency theory (Frank, 1967) and world-systems theory (Wallerstein, 1974) explain this structural inequality by highlighting how the South's economic dependence on the North perpetuates underdevelopment.

Nigeria represents a critical case study within this context. Despite its vast natural and human resources, Nigeria's development trajectory has been marred by heavy reliance on crude oil, governance challenges, and inadequate human capital development (Ake, 1996). This makes the country a microcosm of the broader challenges faced by the South in narrowing the development gap with the North. This paper therefore assesses the indices of the North–South divide by exploring economic, political, social, and technological disparities. It further examines how these indices affect Nigeria's development and global positioning, before offering practical recommendations to bridge the divide.

Conceptual Framework

The North–South Divide

The term "North–South divide" describes the global inequalities between highly industrialized nations (the North) and less developed countries (the South). The classification is not strictly geographical but symbolic, with the North representing advanced economies of Europe, North America, and parts of East Asia, while the South encompasses Africa, Latin America, and much of Asia (UNDP, 2021). The divide reflects asymmetries in wealth, technology, education, and political power.

Indices of Development

Indices of development refer to measurable indicators that capture the socio-economic and political conditions of a country. These include gross domestic product (GDP), human development index (HDI), literacy rates, healthcare access, technological advancement, and governance quality. When applied to the North–South divide, these indices highlight the systemic disparities between the two blocs (World Bank, 2022).

Dependency and Underdevelopment

Dependency theory, articulated by Frank (1967), posits that underdevelopment in the South is not an internal failure but a direct result of exploitative relationships with the North. Similarly, Wallerstein's (1974) world-systems theory categorizes nations into core, semi-periphery, and periphery, with the South often relegated to the periphery, supplying raw materials and cheap labor while remaining dependent on the North for manufactured goods and technology.

Nigeria as a Case Study

Nigeria exemplifies the challenges of the South. With abundant natural resources, especially crude oil, Nigeria should occupy a stronger global position. However, weak institutions, corruption, inadequate healthcare, poor infrastructure, and low industrial capacity have deepened its dependence on Northern economies and multilateral institutions (Ake, 1996).

Assessment of the Indices of the North–South Divide

The North–South divide can be assessed through key indices that measure disparities between the two blocs:

1. Economic Indices: Northern countries dominate in industrial production, global trade, and capital accumulation, while Southern economies remain heavily dependent on primary commodities. Nigeria's reliance on oil exports makes its economy vulnerable to fluctuations in global markets, limiting diversification and sustainable growth (World Bank, 2022).

2. Political and Institutional Indices: The North exercises greater influence in global governance institutions such as the United Nations, World Bank, and IMF. In contrast, Southern states like Nigeria often have limited bargaining power and are subjected to conditionalities that hinder development. Internally, weak institutions and governance challenges perpetuate underdevelopment (Stiglitz, 2002).

3. Social and Human Development Indices: The North enjoys high standards of healthcare, education, and social security, while many Southern countries struggle with high poverty rates, low literacy levels, and poor healthcare systems. In Nigeria, healthcare facilities remain underfunded and educational outcomes are uneven, contributing to human capital deficits (UNDP, 2021).

4. Technological Indices: Technological innovation is concentrated in the North, which controls patents, research hubs, and advanced industries. The South, including Nigeria, often imports technology at high costs, reinforcing dependency. Nigeria's growing tech sector remains constrained by poor infrastructure, particularly electricity and broadband access.

These indices demonstrate the structural nature of the divide, where advantages are entrenched in the North, while the South remains locked in cycles of dependency and underdevelopment.

Recommendations

To address the persistent inequalities of the North–South divide, the following four recommendations are proposed:

1. Economic Diversification and Industrialization: Southern countries like Nigeria must reduce dependence on primary commodities by diversifying into manufacturing, agro-processing, and services. Investment in industrial capacity

and small-scale enterprises can help create jobs and reduce vulnerability to global shocks.

2. Strengthening Governance, Institutions, and Human Capital: Improving governance through transparency, accountability, and institutional reform is essential. At the same time, investments in education, healthcare, and vocational training will build human capital capable of driving sustainable growth.

3. Technological Advancement and Innovation: Nigeria and other Southern states must prioritize digital infrastructure, research, and development. Supporting innovation hubs, tech startups, and renewable energy will help the South move from being consumers to producers of technology.

4. Equitable Global Trade, Finance, and South–South Cooperation: Reforming the global economic system is crucial. Fairer trade rules, debt relief, and restructured international financial institutions will give the South more agency. Simultaneously, enhancing South–South cooperation through initiatives like AfCFTA can strengthen collective bargaining power.

Conclusion

The study on *An Assessment of the Indices of North and South in the North–South Divide* highlights the multidimensional inequalities that continue to shape global development. The North enjoys significant advantages in economic productivity, institutional strength, social development, and technological innovation, while the South, exemplified by Nigeria, struggles with resource dependence, governance weaknesses, and limited human capital.

Nigeria's case reflects both the challenges and potential of the South. Despite abundant resources, underdevelopment persists due to poor governance, weak institutions, and global structural inequalities. Yet with economic diversification, institutional reform, human capital investment, and technological advancement, Nigeria can position itself as a regional leader and reduce dependence on the North.

Bridging the divide, however, cannot be achieved by Southern reforms alone. The global system must also be restructured to allow fairer participation, equitable

trade, and meaningful South–South cooperation. Thus, the North–South divide should not be seen as a permanent condition but as a challenge that requires both domestic transformation and global solidarity to overcome.

References

Ake, C. (1996). *Democracy and development in Africa*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.

Easterly, W. (2001). *The elusive quest for growth: Economists' adventures and misadventures in the tropics*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Frank, A. G. (1967). *Capitalism and underdevelopment in Latin America: Historical studies of Chile and Brazil*. New York: Monthly Review Press.

Rodney, W. (1972). *How Europe underdeveloped Africa*. London: Bogle-L'Ouverture Publications.

Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Stiglitz, J. E. (2002). *Globalization and its discontents*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). (2020). *Trade and Development Report 2020: From global pandemic to prosperity for all*. Geneva: UNCTAD.

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2021). *Human Development Report 2021/2022: Uncertain times, unsettled lives—Shaping our future in a transforming world*. New York: UNDP.

Wallerstein, I. (1974). *The modern world-system I: Capitalist agriculture and the origins of the European world-economy in the sixteenth century*. New York: Academic Press.

World Bank. (2022). *World development indicators*. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators